



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,180	05/24/2001	Maurice Eduardus Theodorus van Esbroeck	V0028/258606	4606
23370	7590	06/23/2009		
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ. KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309			EXAMINER EDWARDS, LAURA ESTELLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 09/865,180</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> THEODORUS VAN ESBROECK ET AL.</p>
<p><b>Examiner</b> Laura Edwards</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 1792</p>	

**All Participants:** (1) Laura Edwards. (2) Voicemail of Catherine Hart.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** Evening

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
 NONE  
  
 Claims discussed:  
 All in general  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:  
 NONE

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura Edwards/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Acknowledgment is made of the after final amendment filed as of 4/7/09 cancelling claims yet leaving pending claims 30, 63, 64, 65, 72, 77, and 78 for appeal only. The after final amendment filed as of 4/7/09 has been entered and the case will be processed immediately in light of simultaneous filing of an appeal brief.